




Post-School Outcome Data Summary

ESD 121, 2020-21 leaver year

Center for Change in Transition Services (CCTS) | www.seattleu.edu/ccts

View Speaker Notes in PowerPoint

- Speaker notes are included for each slide in this presentation
- Notes are automatically displayed in PDF view
- To view in PowerPoint:
 - In the top tool bar, select View
 - Click Notes



Presentation Overview

- [CCTS and the Post-School Survey](#)
- [Data Collection Overview](#)
- [Post-School Survey Terms and Definitions](#)
- [Post-School Outcome Tables and Graphs](#)
- [Sharing the Data \(Security, Confidentiality, and References\)](#)

CCTS and the Post-School Survey



CCTS

CENTER FOR CHANGE IN
TRANSITION SERVICES

- Empowering educators to improve transition services for youth with disabilities through **partnerships, research, and training**
- OSPI Special Education State Needs Project
- Located at Seattle University

CCTS Provides:

- Post-school outcome data for all former high school students in Washington who had an IEP
 - Data collection management
 - Reports
- Secondary transition training
- Technical assistance
- Resources and materials



Federal Collection and Reporting

Purpose

To determine how well states are meeting their responsibilities under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA)


Requirement

All states collect data on 17 IDEA performance indicators

Findings are reported to the Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP)

How are PS Data Collected in ESD 121?

Four IDEA performance indicators relate to secondary transition. These indicators also correlate with one another.



(Kohler, Gothberg, & Hill, "NSTTAC Evaluation Toolkit", 2009)

Data Collection Overview

Post-School Survey Logistics



Survey is open June 1-November 1 each year



Surveys are conducted over the phone by school/school district representatives




Survey questions are answered by former special education students (“leavers”), one year after exiting high school



Survey data are reviewed, analyzed, and reported by CCTS

Leaver Criteria for 2022 Survey

- Former students who exited school in the 2020-21 year
- Age 16-21 with active IEPs at the time of exit
 - Aged out
 - Dropped out
 - Graduated with a diploma
 - Expected to return but did not



What data are collected?

- Demographics (exit status, gender, disability, race/ethnicity, language proficiency)
- Work and school experiences
- Type of job or school
- Number of hours working or in school
- Contact with agencies

Post-School Outcome Terms and Definitions

Former students eligible for the survey

Leavers

Former students ages 16-21 with an IEP who left school by graduating with a diploma, aging out, dropping out, or who were expected to return and did not


- **Responders/respondents (Resp'ts)**

Former student or designated family member who answered the survey interview questions

- **Non-responders/non-respondents**

Former student who was unable to be contacted after repeated attempts or declined to participate in the survey

Post-School Outcome Categories



1. Higher Education

- Enrolled full- or part-time
- Community college (two-year) program
- College/university (four- or more year) program
- At least one complete term

(National Technical Assistance Center on Transition: The Collaborative, 2018)

2. Competitive Employment

- Worked for pay at or above minimum wage
- Setting with others who are nondisabled
- 20 hours or more a week
- At least 90 days at any time in the year since leaving high school
- Includes military employment

(National Technical Assistance Center on Transition: The Collaborative, 2018)

3. Other Education

- Enrolled full- or part-time
- Education or training program that is less than a two-year program (e.g., adult education, vocational technical school)
- At least one complete term

(National Technical Assistance Center on Transition: The Collaborative, 2018)

4. Other Employment

- Worked for pay or has been self-employed
- At least 90 days at any time since leaving high school
- Includes working in a family business. (e.g., farm, store, fishing, ranching, catering services, etc.)

(National Technical Assistance Center on Transition: The Collaborative, 2018)

5. No Engagement

- Former student does not fall into one of the previous employment or education categories.
- The “not engaged” former student may have attempted some education or employment experience, but for less time than the minimum period required for reporting.

Post-School Outcome Tables and Graphs

Survey data from former students who permanently exited high school in the 2020-21 school year

Post-School Outcomes, 2020-21

ESD and Washington state data tables


ESD Name	Total number of 2020-21 leavers	Total number of respondents (resp'ts)	Survey response rate
ESD 121	2,774	2,164	78.01%
Washington state	7,938	6,261	78.87%

ESD Name	Resp'ts	Higher Education	Competitive Employment	Other Education	Other Employment	No Engagement
ESD 121	2,164	24.45%	26.52%	3.74%	22.64%	22.64%
Washington state	6,261	16.87%	30.75%	3.91%	22.74%	25.73%

Post-School Outcomes, 2020-21


ESD and Washington state graphs

ESD 121



(CCTS 2023, Unpublished raw data)


Washington state



(CCTS 2023, *Indicator B14 Post-School Outcome Report, Washington state, 2020-21*)

Outcomes by Demographics

- Five-year Overview
- Exit Category
- Gender Category
- Race/Ethnicity Category
- Disability Category
- Language Proficiency Category



Any vs. No Engagement, Five-Year Overview

ESD and Washington state data table


ESD Name	Leaver Year	Resp'ts	Any Engagement	No Engagement
ESD 121	2020-21	2,164	77.36%	22.64%
Washington state	2020-21	6,261	74.27%	25.73%
ESD 121	2019-20	2,371	74.95%	25.05%
Washington state	2019-20	7,170	69.93%	30.07%
ESD 121	2018-19	2,391	77.33%	22.67%
Washington state	2018-19	6,867	72.07%	27.93%
ESD 121	2017-18	2,332	77.36%	22.64%
Washington state	2017-18	6,825	74.68%	25.32%
ESD 121	2016-17	2,239	77.13%	22.87%
Washington state	2016-17	6,475	72.17%	27.83%

Any vs. No Engagement, Five-Year Overview

ESD and Washington state graphs


Any Engagement

● ESD 121 -x- Washington state



No Engagement

● ESD 121 -x- Washington state



(CCTS 2023, Unpublished raw data)



Engagement Outcomes, Five-Year Overview, 2020-21

ESD and Washington state data table

ESD Name	Leaver Year	Resp'ts	Higher Education	Competitive Employment	Other Education	Other Employment
ESD 121	2020-21	2,164	24.45%	26.52%	3.74%	22.64%
Washington state	2020-21	6,261	16.87%	30.75%	3.91%	22.74%
ESD 121	2019-20	2,371	25.73%	23.41%	4.89%	20.92%
Washington state	2019-20	7,170	16.74%	27.17%	4.64%	21.38%
ESD 121	2018-19	2,391	25.35%	32.29%	4.48%	15.22%
Washington state	2018-19	6,867	19.51%	33.46%	4.30%	14.80%
ESD 121	2017-18	2,332	26.11%	33.10%	4.03%	14.11%
Washington state	2017-18	6,825	20.45%	36.19%	4.03%	14.01%
ESD 121	2016-17	2,239	27.65%	33.54%	2.50%	13.44%
Washington state	2016-17	6,475	21.31%	34.76%	3.04%	13.05%

Engagement Outcomes, Five-Year Overview

ESD and Washington state graphs, (1 of 2)




(CCTS 2023, Unpublished raw data)

Engagement Outcomes, Five-Year Overview

ESD and Washington state graphs, (2 of 2)


Other Education

● ESD 121 ✕ Washington state



Other Employment

● ESD 121 ✕ Washington state



(CCTS 2023, Unpublished raw data)

Any vs. No Engagement by Exit Categories, 2020-21


ESD 121 data table

Exit Category	Respondents	Any Engagement	No Engagement
Graduated	1,945	79.74%	20.26%
Dropped Out	219	56.16%	43.84%


(CCTS 2023, Unpublished raw data)

Any vs. No Engagement by Exit Categories, 2020-21 ESD 121 graphs

Any Engagement



No Engagement



(CCTS 2023, Unpublished raw data)

Engagement Outcomes by Exit Categories, 2020-21

ESD 121 data table


Exit Category	Resp'ts	Higher Education	Competitive Employment	Other Education	Other Employment
Graduated	1,945	26.84%	26.17%	3.50%	23.24%
Dropped Out	219	3.20%	29.68%	5.94%	17.35%

(CCTS 2023, Unpublished raw data)


Engagement Outcomes by Exit Categories, 2020-21

ESD 121 graphs (1 of 2)

Higher Education



Competitive Employment




(CCTS 2023, Unpublished raw data)


Engagement Outcomes by Exit Categories, 2020-21

ESD 121 graphs (2 of 2)

Other Education



Other Employment



(CCTS 2023, Unpublished raw data)


Any vs. No Engagement by Gender Categories, 2020-21 ESD 121 data table

Gender Category	Respondents	Any Engagement	No Engagement
F	789	77.19%	22.81%
M	1,370	77.52%	22.48%
X	<10	N/A	N/A

(CCTS 2023, Unpublished raw data)

Any vs. No Engagement by Gender Categories, 2020-21

ESD 121 graphs



(CCTS 2023, Unpublished raw data)

Engagement Outcomes by Gender Categories, 2020-21

ESD 121 data table


Gender Category	Resp'ts	Higher Education	Competitive Employment	Other Education	Other Employment
F	789	26.11%	23.19%	3.80%	24.08%
M	1,370	23.58%	28.54%	3.58%	21.82%
X	<10	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

(CCTS 2023, Unpublished raw data)


Engagement Outcomes by Gender Categories, 2020-21

ESD 121 graphs (1 of 2)

Higher Education



Competitive Employment




(CCTS 2023, Unpublished raw data)


Engagement Outcomes by Gender Categories, 2020-21

ESD 121 graphs (2 of 2)

Other Education



Other Employment




(CCTS 2023, Unpublished raw data)

Any vs. No Engagement by Race/Ethnicity Categories, 2020-21 ESD 121 data tables

Race/Ethnicity Category	Resp'ts	Any Engagement	No Engagement
American Indian/Alaska Native (AIAN)	18	88.89%	11.11%
Asian	132	78.03%	21.97%
Black/African American	278	76.62%	23.38%
Hispanic/Latino	486	78.19%	21.81%
White	1,017	76.70%	23.30%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander (NHPI)	39	69.23%	30.77%
Of more than one race/multiracial (Two or more races)	188	80.32%	19.68%
Not provided	<10	N/A	N/A

(CCTS 2023, Unpublished raw data)

Any vs. No Engagement by Race/Ethnicity Categories, 2020-21 ESD 121 graphs



(CCTS 2023, Unpublished raw data)

Engagement Outcomes by Race/Ethnicity Categories, 2020-21

ESD 121 data table


Race/Ethnicity Category	Resp'ts	Higher Education	Competitive Employment	Other Education	Other Employment
AIAN	18	5.56%	44.44%	0.00%	38.89%
Asian	132	42.42%	16.67%	3.03%	15.91%
Black	278	19.42%	29.50%	1.80%	25.90%
Hispanic	486	22.22%	28.60%	4.32%	23.05%
White	1,017	25.57%	25.57%	3.64%	21.93%
NHPI	39	10.26%	30.77%	2.56%	25.64%
Two or more races	188	23.94%	26.06%	6.91%	23.40%
Not provided	<10	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

(CCTS 2023, Unpublished raw data)


Engagement Outcomes by Race/Ethnicity Categories, 2020-21

ESD 121 graphs (1 of 2)

Higher Education



Competitive Employment




(CCTS 2023, Unpublished raw data)


Engagement Outcomes by Race/Ethnicity Categories, 2020-21

ESD 121 graphs (2 of 2)

Other Education



Other Employment



(CCTS 2023, Unpublished raw data)

Any vs. No Engagement by Disability Categories, 2020-21 ESD 121 data tables


Disability Category	Resp'ts	Any Engagement	No Engagement
Emotional/Behavioral Disability (EBD)	98	78.57%	21.43%
Other Health Impairments (OHI)	636	82.39%	17.61%
Specific Learning Disability (SLD)	949	83.46%	16.54%
Intellectual Disability (ID)	76	44.74%	55.26%
Sensory	20	90.00%	10.00%
Autism	287	64.46%	35.54%
Other	98	44.90%	55.10%

(CCTS 2023, Unpublished raw data)


Any vs. No Engagement by Disability Categories, 2020-21

ESD 121 graphs

Any Engagement



No Engagement



(CCTS 2023, Unpublished raw data)

Engagement Outcomes by Disability Categories, 2020-21

ESD 121 data table


Disability Category	Resp'ts	Higher Education	Competitive Employment	Other Education	Other Employment
EBD	98	28.57%	21.43%	3.06%	25.51%
OHI	636	25.47%	30.50%	3.46%	22.96%
SLD	949	25.18%	30.98%	4.00%	23.29%
ID	76	3.95%	7.89%	6.58%	26.32%
Sensory	20	35.00%	10.00%	10.00%	35.00%
Autism	287	28.22%	15.33%	3.14%	17.77%
Other	98	9.18%	13.27%	2.04%	20.41%

(CCTS 2023, Unpublished raw data)


Engagement Outcomes by Disability Categories, 2020-21

ESD 121 graphs (1 of 2)

Higher Education



Competitive Employment




(CCTS 2023, Unpublished raw data)


Engagement Outcomes by Disability Categories, 2020-21

ESD 121 graphs (2 of 2)

Other Education



Other Employment




(CCTS 2023, Unpublished raw data)

Any vs. No Engagement by Language Proficiency Categories, 2020-21 ESD 121 data table

Language Proficiency Category	Resp'ts	Any Engagement	No Engagement
Limited English Proficiency (LEP)	300	76.33%	23.67%
Not Limited English Proficiency (NLEP)	1,801	78.23%	21.77%
Unknown	63	57.14%	42.86%

(CCTS 2023, Unpublished raw data)

Any vs. No Engagement by Language Proficiency Categories, 2020-21 ESD 121 graphs



(CCTS 2023, Unpublished raw data)

Engagement Outcomes by Language Proficiency Categories, 2020-21

ESD 121 data table


Language Proficiency Category	Resp'ts	Higher Education	Competitive Employment	Other Education	Other Employment
LEP	300	23.00%	26.00%	3.67%	23.67%
NLEP	1,801	25.26%	26.60%	3.78%	22.60%
Unknown	63	7.94%	26.98%	3.17%	19.05%

(CCTS 2023, Unpublished raw data)


Engagement Outcomes by Language Proficiency Categories, 2020-21

ESD 121 graphs (1 of 2)

Higher Education



Competitive Employment




(CCTS 2023, Unpublished raw data)


Engagement Outcomes by Language Proficiency Categories, 2020-21

ESD 121 graphs (2 of 2)

Other Education



Other Employment



(CCTS 2023, Unpublished raw data)

Sharing Post-School Outcome Data

Data Security and Confidentiality

- District-level data belongs to the school district and cannot be shared without express permissions from the district.
- To protect the identity of populations with respondent sizes less than 10, outcome percentages are changed to N/A.

Suggested Citation

Center for Change in Transition Services, Seattle University (January 2023). *Post-School Outcome Data Summary, ESD 121, 2020-21 leaver year* [PDF]. Retrieved from <https://www.seattleu.edu/ccts/post-school-outcomes/reports/>.

Questions about the Data

[Email CCTS](#) if you have questions about sharing and interpreting post-school outcome data:

- within an ESD to improve practices
- with community members and other stakeholders

References

- Kohler, P. D., Gothberg, J., & Hill, J. (2009, November 12). *NSTTAC Evaluation Toolkit* [PDF]. Kalamazoo: Western Michigan University. Retrieved from <http://comm.eval.org/HigherLogic/System/DownloadDocumentFile.ashx?DocumentFileKey=8d43c53a-e804-4647-856c-f783fceccff2&forceDialog=0>
- National Technical Assistance Center on Transition. (2018, October). *Post-School Outcomes: What's New, How to Find, Improve, and Use Them* [PDF]. Presented at DCDT, Cedar Rapids, Iowa.
- OSPI. (2020, September). *Comprehensive Education Data and Research System (CEDARS) Data Manual 2020–2021 School Year* [PDF]. Olympia, Washington. Retrieved from <https://www.k12.wa.us/sites/default/files/public/cedars/pubdocs/2020-21%20CEDARS%20Manual%20v13.3.1.pdf>

Contact and Connect with CCTS




ccts@seattleu.edu



[Subscribe to our newsletters](#)



www.seattleu.edu/ccts



[Join the CCTS Transition Network on Basecamp](#)

OSPI's Priorities for Improving Outcomes for Students with Disabilities



Creative Commons License



- Except where otherwise noted, content in this presentation is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license](#). All logos and trademarks are property of their respective owners.
- You may share and adapt this material, but you must give appropriate credit, provide a link to the license, and indicate if changes were made.

OSPI Disclaimer



Washington Office of Superintendent of
PUBLIC INSTRUCTION

This training is meant to supplement, not supplant reading bulletins and accompanying documents; guidance from the U.S. Department of Education; chapter 392-172A WAC; Part 300 of the federal regulations; and the Individuals with Disabilities Act.

This presentation and/or materials should be viewed and applied by users according to their specific needs. The presentation should be used as guidance and is not intended as legal advice.