

Social Issues Rubric

Name _____

Total Grade ___/300

Content Knowledge Grade: ___/75

Discovery & Inquiry Grade ___/48

Critical Thinking Grade ___/73

Social Responsibility Grade ___/44

Lifelong Learning Grade ___/30

Communication Grade ___/30

The colloquium paper is worth 300 points. The paper should include the following sections:	Exemplary	Proficient	Marginal	Unacceptable
Introduction: <i>Discovery & Inquiry</i> (___/16) 1. Describe organizational context relative to topic <input type="checkbox"/>	Gives a specific description of the organization as it pertains to the focus of this paper. (14-16)	The description of the organization and the context is present, but brief. (12-13)	There is either no context or it is not specific to the topic. (10-11)	Does not address the organizational context.(0-9)
Introduction : <i>Discovery & Inquiry</i> (___/32) 2. Identify and describe a social issue (or potential) within organization 3. State purpose of paper <input type="checkbox"/>	Substantially establishes a clear or potential social problem that the organization faces. States a clear purpose of the paper. Information is synthesized (organized thematically). (28-32)	Competently establishes a clear or potential problem. States a relatively clear purpose of the paper. Information is somewhat synthesized (24-27)	The issue or potential problem is somewhat unclear. The purpose of the paper is not stated well. Information is presented very linearly (non-thematically). (20-23)	Little or no issue or problem identified and limited or no statement of purpose. (0-19)
Review of Literature: <i>Content Knowledge</i> (___/75) 1. Describe a specific theory (critical race, feminist, hegemonic masculinity, etc) that will help you identify and explain the issue in detail using examples from literature to support how this theoretical framework has been used in the past. 2. Be sure to clarify the various ways in which your theory attends to cultural, interactionist, and structural analyses. You may include all three or focus on 1 or 2 aspects. Integrate these descriptions into the description of your theory.	Review evidences balanced information and a thoughtful, in-depth discussion of a particular social theory. It exceptionally integrates insights about cultural, interactionist, and structural perspectives as well as incorporates empirical evidence from previous research. (67-75)	Review evidences fundamental knowledge of a particular social theory. It proficiently integrates insights about cultural, interactionist, and structural perspectives as well as mostly incorporates empirical evidence from previous research. (60-66)	Review indicates limited understanding of a particular social theory. It marginally integrates insights about cultural, interactionist, and structural perspectives and has limited discussion of empirical evidence from previous research. (51-59)	Review indicates little to no understanding of a particular social theory. It fails to integrate insights about cultural, interactionist, and structural perspectives and has little to no discussion of empirical evidence from previous research. (0-50).

<p>Application of theory to organization: <i>Critical Thinking</i> (___/31)</p> <p>When writing this section, revisit the points made in your literature review to frame your insights.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Critically analyze a social issue in your organization. This section focuses on how well you apply the literature. 	<p>Successfully applies concepts in the lit review to critically analyze a social issue the focal organization faces or may face. Evaluates by providing clear, specific examples. Frames insights by referencing the points made in the literature review in an exceptional manner. (27-31)</p>	<p>Proficiently applies concepts in the lit review to critically analyze a social issue the focal organization faces or may face. Evaluates by providing solid examples and frames insights by referencing the points made in the literature review in a competent manner. (24-26)</p>	<p>Either marginally applies concepts in the lit review to critically analyze a social issue the focal organization faces or may face or fails to apply one of the concepts. Limited evaluation, generalized support and limited references to the concepts presented in the literature review. (21-23)</p>	<p>Fails to apply concepts from the lit review. Little to no evaluation using specific examples, which are framed by the concepts in the literature review. (0-20)</p>
<p>Application of theory to organization: <i>Social Responsibility</i> (___/24)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Describe the social issue or potential social issue in organization with respect to how well it creates an atmosphere of inclusivity. This section focuses on how attentive you are to the overall goal of inclusivity. 	<p>Successfully evaluates the social issue with respect to inclusivity. (21-24)</p>	<p>Proficiently evaluates the social issue with respect to inclusivity (19-20)</p>	<p>Marginally evaluates the social issue with respect to inclusivity. (17-18)</p>	<p>Fails to evaluate the social issue with respect to inclusivity. (0-16)</p>
<p>Recommendations: <i>Critical Thinking</i> (___/24)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Make recommendations on how the organization can improve a social issue (potential or present) within the organization. Based on rational application of literature. 	<p>Devises solutions by successfully integrating knowledge and judgments. Exceptional development of arguments for action informed by the concepts from the literature review and based on your experience in the focal organization. (21-24)</p>	<p>Devises solutions by integrating knowledge and judgments moderately well. Competently develops arguments for action informed by the concepts from the literature review and based on your experience in the focal organization. (19-20)</p>	<p>Recommendations for organization are somewhat superficial but still integrate knowledge and judgments. Arguments for action are only marginally informed by the literature review and based on your experience within the focal organization. (17-18)</p>	<p>Recommendations do not follow from lit review or experience with focal organization or are missing. (0-16)</p>

<p>Recommendations: <i>Social Responsibility</i> (___/20)</p> <p>1. Make recommendations that promote changes or lend support to attitudes and policies that advance inclusivity and improve the quality of life for individuals within the organization. Show commitment to inclusivity.</p>	<p>Devises solutions by successfully integrating knowledge about the importance of inclusivity that will lead to more attention and efforts towards improving the lives of those within the target organization. Recommendations exceptionally based on experiences and concepts in the literature review. (18-20)</p>	<p>Devises solutions by integrating knowledge about the importance of inclusivity that will lead to more attention and efforts towards improving the lives of those within the target organization moderately well. Recommendations competently based on experiences and concepts in the literature review. (16-17)</p>	<p>Recommendations for organization are somewhat superficial but still integrate knowledge. Arguments for action are only marginally based on your experience within the focal organization and marginally informed by the literature review. (14-15)</p>	<p>Recommendations do not follow from lit review or experience with focal organization or are missing. (0-13)</p>
<p>Conclusion: <i>Critical Thinking</i> (___/18)</p> <p>1. Synthesize what the organization does well relative to this topic <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>2. Synthesize recommendations to organization <input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>Exceptional synthesis of achievements and recommendations with respect to a real or potential social issue. (16-18)</p>	<p>Proficiently synthesizes achievements and recommendations (14-15)</p>	<p>Marginally synthesizes achievements and recommendations (12-13)</p>	<p>Either does not include one aspect or does not synthesize both well at all. (0-11)</p>
<p>Conclusion: <i>Lifelong Learning</i> (___/30)</p> <p>3. Reflect professionally about how you might apply insights gained in this paper to your future sport career.</p>	<p>Comprehensively reflects on application of content to career goals. (27-30)</p>	<p>Competently reflects on application of content to career goals. (24-26)</p>	<p>Marginally reflects on application of content to career goals. (21-23)</p>	<p>Limited or no reflection. (0-20)</p>
<p>Communication aspects below apply to entire paper</p>				
<p>Organization (___/30)</p>	<p>Effectively organizes ideas. Develops ideas well and arranges them logically, flowing smoothly from one to another. Reader can follow line of reasoning. (27-30)</p>	<p>Adequately organizes ideas. Moderately develops ideas and arranges them logically. Does not always clearly link ideas to each other. For the most part, reader can follow line of reasoning. (24-26)</p>	<p>Intermittently organizes ideas clearly and only sometimes arranges them logically. Reader is sometimes confused and/or notices redundancies. (21-23)</p>	<p>The writing lacks any semblance of logical organization. The reader cannot identify a line of reasoning and loses interest. (0-20)</p>
<p>Sentence structure (___)</p>	<p>Sentences are well phrased and varied in length and structure. They flow smoothly from one to another. (-0)</p>	<p>Sentences are adequately phrased. The flow from sentence to sentence is generally smooth. (-1 to -4)</p>	<p>Some sentences are awkwardly constructed, and they represent an occasional distraction for the reader. (-5 to -8)</p>	<p>Errors in sentence structure are frequent enough to represent a major distraction to the reader. (-9 to -12)</p>

Grammar, Spelling, Mechanics (i.e., Punctuation, Italics, Capitalization, etc.) (___)	The writing is free or almost free of errors. (-0 to -1)	There are occasional violations in the writing, but they don't represent a major distraction or obscure the meaning. (-2 to -4)	The writing has numerous errors and the reader is distracted by them. Meaning remains discernible. (-5 to -8)	Errors are so numerous that they obscure the meaning of the passage. The reader is confused and stops reading. (-9 to -12)
Word Choice (___)	Word choice is consistently precise. The writer goes beyond the generic word to find one more precise and effective	Word choice is generally good but generic (-1 to -3)	Word choice is merely adequate, and the range of words is limited. Some words are used inappropriately. (-4 to -8)	Many words are used inappropriately, confusing the reader. The writer may also use colloquial language. (-9 to -12)
Citations & References APA (___)	APA format is used accurately and consistently.	APA format is used with minor violations. (-1 to -4)	Format of document reflects incomplete knowledge of APA format, which may include errors such as omission of citations in instances they are needed. (-5 to -8)	Format of document is not recognizable as APA. (-9 to -12)
Cover page including title and author	Yes_____ No _____ (-1 to -4)			
Appendices (if necessary)				
Length: 2100 words (excluding references), double spaced unless modified per APA guidelines	If fewer than 2100 words, paper is returned ungraded and penalized one grade.			
Times New Roman, font size 12	Yes_____ No _____ (-1 to -2)			
Pages numbered consecutively (excluding cover page)	Yes_____ No _____ (-1 to -6)			
Minimum 5 peer-reviewed references.	If fewer than 5 peer-reviewed references are cited within the text of the paper, the paper is returned ungraded until a minimum of 5 peer-reviewed references are included, which must be cited in text. Paper is penalized a minimum of one grade per missing cited reference.			